

## Shoreline Plan Public Comment

Public comment submitted on the Shoreline Plan from shorelineplan.org or provided at local organizational briefings as of June 13, 2017.



Date	Name/Organization	Comment Summary
6/13/2016	Rudd Davis, SF Seaplane Adventures	Interested in ensuring seaplane operations/landings are allowed in the Shoreline Plan.
7/8/2016	Ellie Waller	Permits for recreational rentals on the beach should be addressed in the shoreline plan. It's NOT ok for concessions to block access and create scenic blight and private amenities like Martis Camp Beach Shack (and Ritz Beach Pavilion soon) need to follow the scenic rules for signage, use of public lands, etc.
7/26/2016 & 10/27/2016	Judi Allen	<p>It is VERY IMPORTANT to address the issue of shoreline PUBLIC access. I am from Hawaii where beach access is available to all on all beaches via public access trails from the streets. I am very dismayed that recently, the Tahoe Keys Association installed a wrought-iron fence with a locked gate (for key access to Keys homeowners/tenants only) at the beach next to their homeowners office &amp; facility! We used to go to the beach there because it was safe for kids, quiet, etc. Also, at the Lakeshore Beach between Stateline Ave &amp; the Marina - the beach is totally locked off to the public! This is ridiculous! This needs to change. Check the laws in Hawaii regarding public beach access. Even the beach-front hotels must let the public on the beach!</p> <p>AND</p> <p>One issue that bothers me greatly is that some areas of shoreline are gated off, preventing the public use of the shoreline. One such area is the beach area between Stateline and I think it is Park, in South Lake Tahoe. It is fenced off and one needs a pass from the hotels or special homeowners to be able to access this beach. This is not right!</p>

		I am originally from Hawaii where the public has access to all beaches - even the ones in front of the fancy hotels! There are no passes or charges needed. We need to make our Lake Tahoe Shoreline accessible to all - not just the privileged!
7/28/2016 & 8/5/2016	Steven Smith	Create 3 places (Sugar Pine State Park, Bliss State Park, and Secret Harbor) where there are 1,200 no wake zones for non-motorized boaters to enjoy the lake. Mark using smart buoys (e-mails attached).
8/2/2016	Cindy Donaldson, Vacation Rentals Made Easy	Provide dog access (e-mail attached).
8/5/2016	Deb Howard, Relator	Will shoreline planning look at stormwater issues, say leaky, outdated stormwater conveyance pipes near the shoreline? Will shoreline planning look at aquatic invasive species issues, say at marinas? Will shoreline planning include Fallen Leaf Lake? (provided at a shoreline briefing)
8/15/2016	Paul Palk	The Tahoe Keys POA denies all access to (what they call) is a private beach including the waterline. Is this legal? Has anyone challenged this? Are there any attorneys willing to file lawsuits against the POA?
8/15/2016	Dr. Boris & Mindy Lokshin	Consider having one day a week, like Monday, be a low speed water day. It is very loud, choppy and unsafe for small watercrafts on weekends due to overwhelming large speed boats. So many people would enjoy the quiet recreation that is also safe for the lake. Just one day a week let the lake rest, after busy weekend. 5 or 10 mph speed limit would be perfect for most sailboats, kayaks, padding, or just slow motoring.
8/29/2016 & 9/22/2016	Madonna Dunbar, Tahoe Water Supplies Association	Concerned with development and potential contamination from nearshore development, impacts from boating (especially buoy fields which are encroaching on intake infrastructure and fuel spills), AIS management issues in Tahoe and Tahoe Keys and human water

		contact recreational bacterial/viral potential contamination (letter attached).
9/19/2016	Devin Middlebrook, on behalf of Tahoe Basin Project	There is an interest in allowing for a floating science educational watercraft on Lake Tahoe.
9/29/2016	Arnold Finn, President, Global Dock & Marina Systems	Recommends using Blue Docks (letter attached).
10/13/2016	Damon Spitzer	I manage the pier and buoy field at Stillwater Cove in Crystal Bay. I am wondering about new regulations regarding lights on mooring buoys. Will lights be required on all buoys around the lake? If so, when will these regulations come into affect? If you have any literature on this, could you send it my way?
10/19/2016	Pam Emmerich, North Tahoe Public Utility District	There should be some sort of deadline for getting boats out of the water or some sort of restrictions on buoys around water intakes because two boats recently sank near the Tahoe Vista water intake, resulting in fuel/oil spills near the intake that caused the intake to be shut down for cleanup, and this is common every fall/winter as winds and waves pick up. Also, not clear on who to go to for enforcement issues of this nature.
10/28/2016	Jon Krauss	I went to the October meeting and I have to admit, didn't feel that it was a good use of my time for the following reasons. 1. The TRPA was represented by staff that were well meaning but woefully unfamiliar with the shoreline issues or for that matter, even the terminology. The staff members that are familiar with shoreline issues were not present. 2. Quite a few of the public showed up with interest in pressing projects that they wanted. Although these issues may have been recorded on the scribble sheet table cloths, I doubt if the will go any further than that. 3. I have to admit that I left the meeting with the distinct feeling that it was a show of "good faith" rather than an attempt to bring in views that differ from the

		<p>"stakeholder groups". A major contributor to this is #1, above.</p> <p>I consider my time valuable, even during the off-hours. I deal with the TRPA on a regular basis as well as many of the other regulatory agencies. I can't attend the second meeting if it's going to be a rehash of the one I attended.</p>
Summer/Fall	Local organizational briefings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concerns raised with how HOA buoy fields will be regulated under the Shoreline Plan.</li> <li>• Concerns raised with illegal buoys and the need for better enforcement.</li> <li>• Interest in more signage showing where the public can access the lake.</li> </ul>
4/19/2017	Steve Smith	<p>Major concerns:</p> <p>1) MAJOR PROBLEM 1: Large shoreline wakes and near shore wakes from power boats operating very close to shore. Suggested a 1200 foot "no wake zone" and better enforcement of this no wake zone.</p> <p>2) MAJOR PROBLEM 2: Noise concerns regarding "cigarette boats". These can be deafening if close and can be heard for several miles which really can disturb the peace and tranquility for literally hundreds of people. One 1200 horsepower cigarette boat operator can ruin the day of several hundred people. This means the one power boat operator right to pollute the atmosphere with deafening sound really trumps the right of several hundred people to enjoy a tranquil day on the lake. Something is wrong with this picture.</p> <p>Also, concerned with overall planning process and wants to make sure these 2 issues (noise and wakes) have been truly addressed.</p> <p>(two e-mails attached)</p>

4/24/2017	Jon R. Krauss, Pier Contractor	My experience is that the shoreline around Lake Tahoe is extremely varied and what works in one area may not work in another. To propose a "standard pier" model, with the 10' +3' pier head and a 6' access section, extending to the "pier headline" is an injustice to lakefront owners at many locations around the lake. This is particularly relevant in the areas with scattered boulders, found in Meeks Bay, Crystal Bay and the east shore. Numerous customers of mine are handicapped by the 6219' pier headline "bottom" depth standard that doesn't take into effect the immediate boulders, which are often above high lake level. Suggesting that when conditions exist where a pier can be constructed but that it or its boatlift be unusable because of boulders, or other natural conditions, that there be an appeals process that will take into consideration these problems and allow variances (e-mail attached).
4/25/2017	Jessica E. Tucker-Mohl, CA Deputy Attorney General, on behalf of Xavier Becerra, CA Attorney General	Provided comments on Lake Tahoe Shoreline Plan EIS – Working Session on Conceptual Scoping of Alternatives. Recommended the consideration of the inclusion of a reasonable and viable reduced development alternative and carrying forward density restrictions from the 2008 Shorezone Ordinance. (letter attached)
4/27/2017	Karin Hoida, Basin Strategies Planning & Consulting	Recommends providing a variance option or allowing for planner discretion to alleviate navigation issues related to pier access and providing consistency between agencies regarding projection (setback) lines. (e-mail attached)
6/6/2017 & 6/8/2017	Steve Smith	Provide better enforcement and 1) Educate public of no wake zone; 2) Test cigarette type boats for noise compliance prior to launch; and 3) Place buoys at 600' out to alert boaters to slow down (e-mail attached).